

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,811	,	08/05/2003	Jong-Bum Park	51876P362	9765	
8791	7590	05/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		LOFF TAYLOR & OULEVARD	KENNEDY,	KENNEDY, JENNIFER M		
	TH FLOOR	OULEVARD	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS AN	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2812		
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/11/200	DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner Jennifer M. Kennedy	Nation of About and	10/635.811	PARK ET AL.					
This application is abandoned in view of: 1. □ Applicant's failure to timely file and one of the cover sheet with the correspondence address— This application is abandoned in view of: 1. □ Applicant's failure to timely file and one of the cover sheet with the correspondence address— (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Cartificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1141. (c) □ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bone filed attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.55(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. □ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) □ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (b) □ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was not been received. 3. □ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) □ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) □ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a	Notice of Abandonment							
This application is abandoned in view of: 1. □ Applicant's failure to timely file and one of the cover sheet with the correspondence address— This application is abandoned in view of: 1. □ Applicant's failure to timely file and one of the cover sheet with the correspondence address— (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Cartificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1141. (c) □ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bone filed attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.55(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. □ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) □ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (b) □ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was not been received. 3. □ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) □ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) □ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a		Jennifer M. Kennedy	2812					
1. Stapplicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on Q4 November 2004. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of months), which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file of Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.149. (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-95). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated, which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-95). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ the publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailin								
(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated, which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of, (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.5(3) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee; if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee; if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ Children for the sisue fee required by a fee required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowandity (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), wh								
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.	(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on							
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1141, (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.185(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☒ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Malling or Transmission dated by high is safer the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.18(a), upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decisio								
final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☐ The reason(s) below. On May 9, 2005, the examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Steven Laut, and was told by Jean of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or r	application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for							
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated howance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below. On May 9, 2005, the examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Steven Laut, and was told by Jean of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.								
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7 The reason(s) below. On May 9, 2005, the examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Steven Laut, and was told by Jean of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.							
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c)), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of							
(c)	(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.							
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: On May 9, 2005, the examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Steven Laut, and was told by Jean of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$							
Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below. On May 9, 2005, the examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Steven Laut, and was told by Jean of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned. Which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received.	(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.							
after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: On May 9, 2005, the examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Steven Laut, and was told by Jean of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2812 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. Output Description: Output								
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☐ The reason(s) below: On May 9, 2005, the examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Steven Laut, and was told by Jean of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. US. Patent and Trademark Office	(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.							
the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: On May 9, 2005, the examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Steven Laut, and was told by Jean of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.							
1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: On May 9, 2005, the examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Steven Laut, and was told by Jean of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2812 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.								
of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: On May 9, 2005, the examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Steven Laut, and was told by Jean of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2812 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								
On May 9, 2005, the examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Steven Laut, and was told by Jean of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								
Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned. Light M. Kennedy Pennifety M. Kennedy Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2812 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	7. The reason(s) below:							
Art Unit: 2812 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, that the case had gone abandoned.							
minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		(
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	minimize any negative effects on patent term.							
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20050509					